G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1914.

(Mar)

Later references.

File No. 160 & 14

Serial Nos.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Sept 18

SUBJECT.

Resumption of inam lands endowed to Sitthur Math, Nanjangud Taluk

---

To, Dy Commr Mysore Dt.
No 160 Dy 7 9.18

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

28/10/18

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 306
23 Feb 14.

Letter from the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist.

In G.O. no. 284 dy 19th July 1918, the application from the Swami of Suthur Math in the Nanjangud Taluk praying for the restoration of resumed inam, was rejected.

The Dy Commr seems to think that para 2 of the preamble of the said order requires modification. He states that the recommendation for the restoration of the inam was made by his predecessor in his letter dy 11th July 1914, and he did not send up any recommendation in his letter dy 30-10-1917 refg.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied for slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. [11-3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

in the preamble of the order

In para 2 of the preamble the whole correspondence ending with the Dy. Commr's last comm. dd 30-10-1917 was read, and it was nowhere stated in the order that the recommendation for the restoration was made in the present D.C's comm. dd 30 10 1917

Submitted

7.8.18

No' the recom.n was not actually made in the letter refd to, the Dy Comr. here first protested against his predecessor's recom.n while stating that other recom.ns called for were untenable. No alteration in the G.O. issued seems necessary.

8/8

7.8.18

The correspondence having been read, there is no mistake in the language of the preamble thereof which requires correction. This may be pointed out to the D.C.

8 8/18. 8/8/18

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

GRSM. 592

(Secy.)

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submit with a draft for approval.

12.8.18

13/8/18.

Put up clean draft.

Typed

Clean draft sub.

9.8

Submit with a draft for approval or other orders

29/8

Draft approved

31.8.18

GRSM.592

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

W.D. 0211—GPB—6,00,000 -19 6-16—S

1918-19

From

To

Dated

Despatched 29th July 1918

Received 31 JUL 1918

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 29th July 1918.

From

K. Seshadri, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

To

C. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Inam Department, Bangalore.

Sir

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.284-Inam.160-14-9 dated 19th July 1918 passing orders in the matter of the restoration of the inam lands endowed to Suttur Math in the Nanjangud Taluk, but which were resumed to Government on 30th October 1866, I have the honor to state that from the preamble to the Government Order (para 2) it would appear that the restoration of the inam lands to the Math was recommended in this office No.204/16-17 Inam. dated 20th October 1917.

On looking into the office copy of the above letter I find that no such recommendation was made by me. The recommendation was actually made by my predecessor Mr. A. Subramanya Iyer, in this office letter No.11 dated 11th July 1914.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Seshadri

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

absent from station

Subject:- Points out to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, that there is no mistake in the language of the precis requiring correction in para 2 of the preamble of G.O. No.284 dated 19th July 1918.

****

No P/2 d. 7.9.18.
M.F.160-14-11

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.65 dated 29th July 1918 stating that from para 2 of the preamble of Govt. Order No.284 dated 19th July 1918 rejecting the application from the Svami of Suthur Matt in the Nanjangud Taluk it would appear that you had recommended the restoration of the lands in your letter No.204/16-17 dated 30th October 1917, I am directed to point out that the correspondence in the matter including your predecessor's letter No.11 dated 11th July 1914 recommending the restoration of resumed inams having been read in the preamble, there is in reality no error in the description of the purport of the correspondence which requires to be corrected.

I have etc.,

Joint Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Deptt.

CS.